G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895-96 191 (L.R)

File No. 105-95 ~~91895~~

Serial Nos. 1 to 9

Later references

~~L. R. 90 of 98~~

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 9.

~~Part~~ B for April 1896

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Yelliah of Beladhara, Tumkur Taluk regarding a building Site in the paid Village

W D 1250—GPB—30,000—23-1-19

Inscd.

The Petr recites the facts with Nos & dates of orders issued from time to time — in greater detail than are given in the Dr Commrs' report Nr 457 dated 20-11-95. The grounds for review appear to be:—

(1) that Honnappa was not a bona fide applicant for the land for a charitable purpose; that having obtained it under a false pretence of building a chatram, used it for his private purposes for several years in violation of the terms of the grant; and that he forfeited all claim to consideration inasmuch as he failed to build even after notice was issued by the Amildar, urged that even the period of one year wrongly recommended by the Amildar & allowed by the Dr Commr was insufficient, and admitted his inability to give the security required

(2) that the Amildar having under the above circumstances recommended the cancellation of the grant & the sale of the land the Dr Commr said that the land might be sold if Honnappa was not willing to abide by the orders already passed; and that thereupon the Amildar

ordered sale without further reference to Honnappa as the latter had already expressed his unwillingness

3. That the sale so held, at which Petitioner was purchaser, having been duly confirmed and the land having been ~~delivered to~~ put possession, the Petitioner advanced Rupees 300 to Waddars &c to build a chavadi on the spot, and that there is now no means of recovering this money except by working out the advance

4. That the Dy Commr made only a conditional order on the appeal of Honnappa, viz. ~~that~~ if Honnappa ~~has~~ is possession as alleged by him; cancel sale and institute fresh proceedings if possible; and that it was the Amildar's duty to have reported in reply that possession had been given to the Petitioner: which the amildar failed to do.

Note. the appeal was heard ~~by~~ and decided by the 1st and 3rd Commissioners.

Rob/2

Stamp sufficient 105-95-1
In time L.R. ~~9+95-1~~
~~R.~~
Submitted for orders -
13 + 95

Caveat read
report -
13 days due in
the meantime
appeal may be
preferred
Noted
14/8/95

Noted 14-8-95
Petr abstracting work is an annual process of hands. 14/8

Drafd
MK
17/8

No. 496.

Name of Petitioner. Yellappa

Village. Beladhara

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. -

Date of Receipt. 30-7-95

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures. 2

ABSTRACT.

Prays that the deputy's order Commissioner's Rr. 12448 24-4-95 communicated to him by the Amildar, directing the cancellation of the sale of a piece of land in the Beladhara village may be set aside and that the sale to him may be confirmed.

9/8/95

The rule of a nut
A was granted to Hanmaiah in 1881 subject among other conditions to forfeiture if he had not erected a cattle within the time prescribed. The rule was duly complied with as admitted by Petr but without notice to Hanmaiah it has been purported cancelled by the Petr. The Govt declined to interfere in the Dy Comrs order. The appeal is rejected. The purchase

The grounds urged are:-
(1) that on Dumkhast presented by Petr on 27.10.92 in the 21st year of the Fasli the Counter Petr Hanmaiah objected to the grant of the land to him on the ground that he had obtained it 14 years ago to build a Chavadi on it that Hanmaiah thereupon allowed one years time

to give security in that behalf
asked for 2 years time; that the
Taluk & Dt authorities found that
he had no means to build a chatram
and ordered the sale of the land, that
at the sale which was duly held the
Petr bought the land for Rs. 12, that
the sale was confirmed on 2-3-95
& Petr was put in possession of the land
on 29. 3-95

(2) that whilst so, the Dt Commr was
not right in cancelling the sale without
any notice to Petr

(3) that Petr was himself willing &
ready to build a ~~Chatram~~ there.

- In the Subsn issued to Petr
it is merely stated that the sale was
cancelled by the Dt Commr on
the appeal of Honnia.

496
30.7.95

496
30.7.95

20/12/95

A.S. 23 of 1895-96

ਦੋਸ਼ੀ ਨੂੰ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਸੁਣਾਉਣ ਸਮੇਂ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಸಿದ್ಧೇಶ್ವರ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಸಿದ್ಧೇಶ್ವರ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸನ 1895ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಮೆ॥ ಶಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನಪರವಾಗಿ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ, ಅರ್ಜಿವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕಬಗ್ಯೂ, ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ತೆಗದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆಯಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ರುಜು

ತಾರೀಖು

29-7-95

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Printed by H.R. Misser, at the Town Press, Bangalore—1895

No. 496 121 26-8-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

105-95-2
L.R. 91-95-2
L.R. 90-95-2

The accompanying Petition No. 496

~~dated~~ without date received at the Dewan's Office ~~from~~ on 30th July 1895 from Yellappa of Baladhara, Tumkur Taluk, ~~&c~~ appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, ~~regarding~~ cancelling the sale of a certain land in the said village.

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District for submission of the entire Proceedings connected with the case, together with a report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

The petition and its enclosures should be returned

By Order,

C.2 Draft HBL

BANGALORE, 12/8/1895. } for Chief Secretary. 1718

26.

MB.

1718

Gen. 31.]



L.R. 98-98-3

105-95-3

L-R-98-95-3

Return the unalienated type copies of the original petition

Ullal 2/12/95

Lra to Q
3/12/95

Letter 6/12/95 to Petitioner & notice issued thereon 8/12

Process sent 3-12-95

From Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

To Revenue Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 20 November 1895.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No. 457

Enclosure No. Records

this Offce Memo No 424 of 17th August 1895.

Reports on the petition of Yellappa of Beladhara in the Tumkur Taluk.

Peter N
28/11/95

Submitted to the Rev. Councillor with reference to the order in Petn No 496.
This is not a compeil case.

25/11

Ved
27/11.

No: 4 Rec.
quelo:

Deputy Commissioner's office

Tumkur 20th November 1895

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your official Memo: No: 424 (Pet) dated 17th August 1895, forwarding for report a petition × (herewith returned) from Yallappa of Bladhara in the Tumkur Taluk, in re a building site in the said village, I have the honor to submit the following report.

2. On the 27th October 1892, Petitioner Yallappa applied to the Amildar for the grant of a building site (12×14 yards) situated in the village of Beladhara on the Tumkur Maddagiri road. During the Enquiry instituted by the Sheikdar in regard to this application, one Hommappah came forward and alleged that the site in question had been granted to him so far back as 1881 on the condition of his enclosing it with a Compound wall and making it useful to

travellers. Upon this, the Amildar re-

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

-quired the said Honnappah (vide notice dated 3rd July 1893) to carry out the terms of the grant within 3 months. Honnappah failed to do so, and the Amildar, in reporting the matter to the Deputy Commissioner on 11th August 1894, solicited sanction to cancel the grant to Honnappah and make the site available to others. Honnappah being called on for an Explanation of his failure to carry out the terms of the grant, he wanted a year's time to do so. This the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Venkatavaradaiengar) sanctioned in his order dated 1st September 1894 on the Condition that Honnappah deposited a sum of Rs50/- for the due fulfilment of his engagement. When asked for the said deposit, Honnappah wanted two years time Upon this the Deputy Commissioner

directed (vide order dated 25th November 1894)
that if Hommappah did not wish to
abide by the order of 1st September 1894
the site might be disposed of by
auction. The Amildar did not Com-
municate this order to Hommappah,
but at once advertised the site for
sale on the 17th January 1895. Petitioner
Yallappah was the highest bidder
at the sale, his bid being Rupees 12f
25 per cent of the amount of the bid
was recovered from Yallappah on
the 17th January and the balance on
the 28th ideu. The sale was Confirmed
by the Deputy Commissioner on 2nd
March 1895. Upon this Hommappah
appealed to the Deputy Commissioner.
The Amildar was thereupon asked
to report whether the sale was held
without Communicating the Deputy
Commissioner's order of 25th November
1894 to Hommappah. On receipt of
the Amildar's report in the affirmati
Mr. Venkataviaradaiengar passed the
following order:-

" This dispute has arisen

from the sale having been held without communicating to Petitioner (Honnappah) the order of 25th November 1894 which directed the sale of the site in question in case Honnappah did not agree to deposit 50 Rupees and to Construct the Chattram within one year. It is understood from the Petitioner (Honnappah) that the site has not been delivered over to the purchaser at the sale. In such case, it seems advisable to cancel the sale and take fresh proceedings in accordance with the order of 25th November 1894. Let this be done if possible".

The purchaser (Yallappah) urges that he was put in possession on 29-3-95. The Amildar complied the possession Sale was nominal, and that the Chattram (Choultry) and a few shades which Honnappah had placed on the land were there & continued to be in his possession.

This order was passed on 24th April 1895. The Amildar thereupon informed the purchaser at the sale, viz: Yallappah, that the sale had been cancelled and required Honnappah to produce the deposit required by the Deputy Commissioner's order. Honnappah expressed his inability

to produce a cash deposit, but was prepared to hypothecate his shop for the amount. Upon this, the Deputy Commissioner directed on the 4th June 1895 that a personal security might be accepted from the said Nomappah and a Muchalika taken from him to carry out the terms of the grant within the time given. Accordingly, a Muchalika was taken on the 5th July 1895. The period of one year is said in this Muchalika to count from that date.

on the personal security of one Pattikonna of Kalkulam — the Court has ordered the Muchalika & Yearly Rent of the inspection

3. Petitioner Yallappah now appeals to Government against the cancellation of the sale.

4. The records connected with the Case are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M Narain Rao
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Tenkasi District

Encl.

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

L.R. 185-95-4

Read:—

(1) Petition Reo of appeal No 496/23 of 1895-96, presented by Gallaiya of Beladhara in the Tumkur Taluk, against the order of the Deputy-Commr of Tumkur cancelling the sale to Appellant of a building site at Beladhara

(2) Letter No 457 dated 20th November 1895 from the Deputy Commr of the Tumkur District reporting on the above petition

(3) Proceedings of the case received with the above letter, which show the facts to be as follows:—

The Respondent-Honnappa applied for, and obtained by ~~Bracketed~~ in 1881, a piece of land, ~~12 yds~~ 12×14 yds, ~~being 14 yds~~, ~~belonging to the~~ include

in the village site of Beladhara,
for the purpose of constructing
a bandy stand for the use of
travellers, by enclosing the
site with a compound wall.

In 1892 the Appellant applied
for the same land stating that
it belonged to Govt, and
during the enquiry it was made,
found that the ~~Appellant~~ Respondent had bee
~~has~~ keeping the land ~~open~~
~~since its grant~~ without
erecting a the compound wall as originally agreed upon.
~~On which grant on which~~
~~condition the grant had been~~
~~made.~~ When he called upon
to carry out the terms of the
grant, Honnappa asked for
one years time, which, the
in his order dt. 9.7.94
deputy Commr directed to
be granted if he deposited
Rs 50, as security for his ful fil ment of his engagement
~~constructing the "Chatram"~~
~~within a year~~. Honnappa
pleading his inability to
make a cash deposit and
asking for two years' time,
the Amildar reported the
matter

by the Deputy Commr who ordered on 25.11.94 that the site might be disposed of by sale in public auction, if Honnappa was not willing to act ~~according~~ up to by the order of 1st September 94.

Thereupon, the Amildar without ~~the~~ communicating this order to Honnappa, issued a notification for the sale of the land. The sale was held on 17th January 95, ~~when~~ & the land was purchased by the Appellant for Rs. 12. The sale was confirmed by the Deputy Commr on 2nd March 95.

Subsequently on the complaint of Honnappa, the Deputy Commr cancelled the sale on the ground that it had been held ~~was~~ without ~~any communication to~~ ~~Honnappa of~~ the order of 25th November. The present appeal is against this order.

being Communicated to Honnappa

Encl one Ver file

———u———

Order thereon. R. 5638 17-12-95 P. 1168.

The sale of a site which

in 1881, and which was in his possession and enjoyment for more than 12 years, simply because he had not enclosed it by a wall, was irregular. The sale, moreover, took place, as admitted by the Deputy Commissioner without notice to Honnappa and it has been properly cancelled by the Deputy Commissioner. The Government decline to interfere with the Deputy Commissioner's order. This appeal is rejected. The purchase money will be refunded to the Appellant.

Draft
C.143

17-12-95
Revenue Secretary

To The Deputy Commr
Sunkan District, with
proceedings.

S.V. 13/12 M 15/12/95

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

20/12/95

(5)

L.R. 105-95-5

L.R. 90-95-4.

నంబరు 496/23 లు

2

ఈ ఉత్తరువులను జారీ చేయడమైనది.

ఈ సంస్థానంలోని ఇనాములకు
భూమి శిస్తులను నిర్ణయించుటకు
ఒక విధానమును ఏర్పాటు చేయడం.

కనుక ఇనాందారులకు వారి
యందు గల హక్కులను ఇవ్వడానికి
మరియు ఇనాందారులకు మరియు
రైతులకు మధ్య తలెత్తే వివాదాలను
పరిష్కరించడానికి ఒక ఆజ్ఞను
తే 1875 నవంబరు 30 వ తారీఖు
496/23 నెంబరు ఉత్తరువు ఆజ్ఞను
జారీ చేయడమైనది. ఆ ఆజ్ఞ
ప్రకారం ఇనాందారులకు
భూమి శిస్తులు నిర్ణయించడం
జరిగింది.

1881 నవంబరు 1 నుండి ఎవరు
ఆయా వారసులు చెల్లించే
ప్రతి 12 రూపాయల శిస్తుకు
ఒక రూపాయి చొప్పున
తగ్గించడమైనది, ఆ రూపాయి
రద్దు చేసినట్లు నోటిఫికేషన్
ఇవ్వడమైనది.

1895 (6)

No. 2336

Name of Petitioner, Ecorpara Gellia - respondent

Village, Yeladahara

Taluk, Tumkur

District, Tumkur

Date of Arzi, 31-12-95

Date of Receipt, 6-1-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 492/23 of 17-12-95 praying for his review application states that the Counter petitioner without fulfilling the condition on which the site was granted to him was enjoying it by having a sheep shed on it - that this cannot be called an enjoyment - that he has deceived the Govt. that it was directed in Endorsement No. 870/27-2-81 granted to Counter petitioner from the Kortagiri Zeladi that he should enclose the site by a compound wall to suit the convenience of the carts arriving from outstations - that on 27-10-92 he drew khaste for the site as it was lying waste with a view to erect a Tumpa for khana ture - that the Huzlebar reported that the land was lying waste - that thereupon the Amildar personally visiting the spot issued a notice to Counter petitioner

Wah
Rejected petition & send
L.R. 105-91-95-6 1034
L.R. 91-95-6

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor. The Petr quotes a precedent to show that the non-cancellation of the grant to the Counter-Petr was wrong but does not ask for any review of the Govt order already passed.

Clear the Petr who informed that an endt embodying the decision of Govt has already been issued.

19/2/96

21/2/96

I find that the Statement in my note above is inaccurate It was due to my having read only the English abstract and

on 2-1-94 it was reported that no steps had been taken to erect
the Pile except the collection of a few cartloads of gravel stone
that on 11-8-94 the Committee recommended the land being sold
by auction as the Contractor had failed to fulfill the condition
of the grant though 14 years had Elapsed since then - that after
causing some enquiry into the matter the Dy. Commr. agreed that he might
be granted one years time to complete the Chattram provided he furnished
security - that the Contractor petr. having asked for a longer time the
Committee recommended the cancellation of the grant - that on this the
Dy. Commr. agreed that the land might be sold if the Contractor did
not like to act up to the conditions contained in his previous order.
that thereupon the Pile was sold for Rs. 12/= which was duly confirmed on
2-3-95 - that the land was placed in the petrs possession on 29-4-95
that on the complaint of the Contractor petr the Dy. Commr. cancelled the sale
without any investigation - that another piece of land in the same village
granted to one Bella Ramia for building a Rukhasarkhana was caused
to be sold with the adjacent land on the same day
since the grantee failed to fulfill the conditions of the grant and
that the said sale has not been interfered with - Prays that he may
be granted a detail endorsement to enable him to recover the amount
advanced by him to the Workers for building the Rukhasarkhana and also
the cost incurred by him for stamps &c. the proceedings are appealed in
the matter

RK
29/1

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

204

One hon
Rupee stamp

30-12-95

MYSORE DEMANDS OFFICE
PETITION OF PAYMENT
NO'D. No. 2336 R
DATE 6-1-96

2336 Petn
6/1/96

1895-96

1895 496/23

1881 1881

1881

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

19-1-95 को मालिक मकान के नाम से

28-1-95 को मालिक मकान के नाम से

28-2-95 को 824/674 नं. मकान में 0711 नं. मकान से

2-3-95 को 5001 नं. मकान से

25-3-95 को 698 नं. मकान से

8-4-95 को 2734/432 नं. मकान से

16-4-95 को 688 नं. मकान से

26-4-95

1-9-94 को 206 नं. मकान से

15-11-94 को 206 नं. मकान से

25-11-94 को 674 नं. मकान से

28-2-95 को 1055 नं. मकान से

2-3-95 को 5001 नं. मकान से

24-4-95 کے مطابق 1244ھ کو ہوا۔

~~D.R. Vol-IV~~
~~10348~~

~~L.R. 90-96~~

~~L.R. 105-94-95-7~~

(7) 10-3-96

ನಂಬರ್ 2336

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ರುದ್ರವಾಡಿ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ

ತುಮಕೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕುಚಿಲ್ಕಲ್ಲು
ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಮತ್ತಿತರ
ನಿವೇ ಇಂಡಾಂಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಸದರಿ ಚಿಲ್ಕಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂದು
ನಿವೇಶನದ ಹಂಚಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ತುಮಕೂರು
ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರವರು ಆದೇಶ
ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ
ಕೊಂಡಿ ದಿ. 7-95 ನೇ ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆ
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೇಲೆ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ
ಮಂಡಿಸುವ 17/20-12-95 ನೇ ಕಾಶ್ಯಾಖಿನ
496/23 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವಿಕ
ಕೆಡೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಸಂದರ್ಭವನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ
ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡು 31-12-95 ನೇ ಕಾಶ್ಯ
ಪಿನ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಶ್ರೀಕರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಮೇಲಾಲು ಮಂಡಿಸುವ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ
ಬರಲಾಗುವುದು
ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಂತೋಷ
ತಿಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 7-3-96.

By order

Asst. Secretary

reference put up 30/3

D-IV 10351 J 1896

Already one review application as per Endt admitted, has been rejected. This petn is unstamped.

Submitted
31/3/96

Put up draft of an endt rejecting this second appln for review

31/3

105-
9+-95-8.

L.R.

Accepted
Read
31/3/96

No. 3294

Name of Petitioner, Ejellia

Village, Beladavu

Taluk, Tumkur

District, Tumkur

Date of Arzi, 23-3-96

Date of Receipt, 26-3-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2336 of 19-3-96 rejecting his review application about the cancellation of the sale of the site granted to one Accapa Hornia of Beladavu for the construction of a Dharmasala Khana - States that he would build a Dharmasala Chatram on the site within a year - that if he failed he would give up the site to govt. that he has advanced to Woddars &c about Rs. 300/- for the work - that he cannot recover the above amount without undertaking the work & that he has incurred heavy loss - Prays that on perusal of his second petition & other records the site may be granted

Frontier states that there are no
accommodation for the wayfarers
to halt in the village - and that
they are put to much difficulty
during the inclemency of the weather

WK
26/3

MYSORE [illegible] JURISDICTION.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3294 R

6.3.96
Abstract of Employment W/3

ಕಾಣಿಸಿ 23-3-96 ಲಾಲ್ಗುಲಿ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು

D.R.VI
10852

1-4-96

(9)

ನಂಬರ್
3294
L.R.VI 95-9

ಕಲ್ಯಾಣಾರುದಿವಾಣಕಬೇಕೆರಿಯಿಂದ

ತುಮಕೂರಾಲ್ಲೂ ಕೆರೆ ಬೆಳ್ಳಭಕ್ರಾಮಾಬಲ್ಲಿರುವ
ಕೆಡಿಗಳ ವಿಲ್ಲಂಯ್ಯಾಗಿನೆ ಇಂಡಾಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್

ಸದರಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳಭಕ್ರಾಮಾಬಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂದೂನಿವೇಶನದ ಹಂಚಿಕೆಯನ್ನು
ತುಮಕೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆವೆಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ನಳವರೂ ಮಾಡಿ
ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಂದ 30-7-95ನೇ ಮಾಡಿ
ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ವೇಯರ್‌ಮೊಂಬಿನವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ
17/20-12-95ನೇ ರಂಧ್ರಮಿನ 496/23ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು
ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಯಮಾಡಿದ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಂದ
ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ 23-3-96ನೇ ರಂಧ್ರಮಿನ ವಾಲಂಟಾರಿಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
ಯಾಕೆ

ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ಬಾರಿಕಾಮು 31ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಸನ್ 1896ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯೊಳ
ಇಂತಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By order

Asst. 31/3
For Secretary

Reg